

DPW BPD Building Committee Meeting Minutes  
August 13, 2018

Members present:

Anne Marie Mahoney, Anthony Ferrante, Fitz, Tom, Patrice, Steve Rosales, Roy, Bill Shea

- Called to order by Chair Mahoney at 7:04
- Minutes from June 11, edit proposed by AMM, accepted, edited minutes accepted unanimously.
- Minutes from June 26, edit proposed by Member Rosales, edited minutes accepted unanimously.
- Calendar discussion for upcoming dates, Sept 11 remains, Sept 25 doesn't work because of vote
- Sidebar discussion request from Member Rosales for Ted to send designs in advance
- Monday 24 at 7 in Room 4, Oct 16, Oct 30 all at 7PM
- Updates from Chair Mahoney -
  - There have been discussions going on over the past few weeks regarding the hatch to the roof of the PD vs stairway. It does not appear that it has been resolved. The compromise appears to be that there will be a hatch with stairs as opposed to a hatch with a ladder. There is an issue with the location of stairs that may require a guard rail. Member Rosales posits - if the fire chief were here, what would he want? Tom thinks ships ladder rather than alternating tread. Chair Mahoney closes out that it is a continuing issue to be aware of.
  - For the sake of expediency, it may be the case that the Police would do better to be moved entirely out of the building. Possible that part of the department will relocate to town hall auditorium, detectives may move to water department. Glitch is dispatch as equipment is so difficult to move.
    - Member Rosales wonders why we have to move them, can't we just set their area apart and make it safe with filters?
    - Tom points out that much of the electrical in the building must be moved and keeping dispatch there makes that very difficult.
    - Member Rosales wants to know the difference in cost between working around them and moving them, and isn't there a chance that keeping them there will still be cheaper?
    - Member Shea - isn't the fire conference room wired for emergencies? Can't we use that space?
    - Moving them to another location could potentially make the timeline a year rather than 15-18 months
    - Member Rosales is concerned about relocation costs, we don't have any extra money? Member Cowing points out a faster timeline has savings as well.

- Tom points out we are using space that the town already owns, and the movers would be moving within the building or without, so that cost is always built in.
- Some members met this afternoon with DPW stakeholders today
  - Since we met, borings have happened at both sites. Police is fine, DPW is junk. It is essentially 20 ft of urban fill. The result is that the foundation system for the DPW is going to have to be piles. Slab on grade as an option is out.
  - DPW and scheduling, there was quite a bit of discussion following our meeting where DPW made it clear that the burden on them to have the work done during snow season was too great. Bid contract will go out in October, the modulars get constructed, but documents insist that no work gets done until pilings on March 4 or later, and other work may not be done prior to April 1.
  - Member Rosales clarifies how many modular(s) are we getting?
  - Member Rosales asks - let's say they finish the modulars before we are ready? What do they do with them? Tom clarifies that the bid documents will be clear that they cannot be delivered prior to April 1.
  - Member Rosales asks, then what does this make the completion date? Members Cowing and Chair Mahoney review timeline and based on a 4 month construction timeframe, it puts us out to finishing in July or so.
  - Chair Mahoney points out that from a furnishings standpoint that timeline actually does work better with the capital budget.
  - We will request an official updated timeline from Ted for the project with these new dates.
  - Member Epstein inquires as to what this foundation change has done to the budget? Tom replies that the difference in price will come from the contingency line item.
  - Member Rosales is still dissatisfied with the bid in October and then having multiple months of no work happening.
- Next discussion item is exterior funding from CPA for the BPD. Which cycle do we aim for? Some members feel ASAP, others say wait in terms of competition.
  - Member Ferrante says we really need scope and budget before we make any decisions, but that we put the preliminary application in now.
  - Discussion - windows, pointing, possibly railing?
  - Discussion of value of CPA, and undercurrents that town bloc may try to abolish it, therefore we should apply now while we can.
  - Request for Daedalus to possibly help us with a ballpark number? Hopeful yes
  - Applications on the front door are on hold
- Dates will be run by other stakeholders
- Member Epstein wants clarification on members who will spearhead the CPA application? Members Smith and Ferrante.
- Meeting adjourned